1	Development of Quercus ilex plantations is related to soil phosphorus availability on
2	shallow calcareous soils <sup>1</sup>
3	
4	S. Pascual, J.R. Olarieta*, R. Rodríguez-Ochoa
5	Dept. Medi Ambient i Ciències del Sòl. Universitat de Lleida
6	Rovira Roure, 177. Lleida 25198. Spain
7	* Corresponding author: jramon.olarieta@macs.udl.cat
8	Tlf: 34-973702590. Fax: 34-973702613
9	
10	

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Published in *New Forests*, 43: 805-814. (2012).

## Abstract

2	The objective of this study is to analyse the performance of <i>Quercus ilex</i> plantations
3	established under semiarid conditions on different soils formed on calcareous and
4	gypsiferous parent material. We studied eighteen 300 m <sup>2</sup> plots in which 1 year-old
5	seedlings had been planted after subsoiling on the contour. Plots were stratified according
6	to aspect (north and south) and previous land use/ parent material: shrubland on limestone
7	(LM-SH), shrubland on gypsum rock (GY-SH), and cropland on colluvium (CO-AG). Soils
8	developed on limestone and colluvium had average rooting depths of 27 and 37 cm,
9	respectively, and mean concentrations of active lime and phosphorus (P) of 130 and 190
10	mg.g <sup>-1</sup> and 10 and 19 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> , respectively. Soils developed on gypsum had a mean rooting
11	depth of 26 cm, a mean gypsum concentration of 73%. Differences in height and diameter
12	of trees varied significantly according to parent material/previous land use but not to slope
13	aspect. Mean height and diameter of trees were significantly higher in CO-AG plots than in
14	LM-SH and GY-SH plots. Soil P and depth were the main variables explaining differences
15	in dominant height across all 18 plots. In CO-AG plots mean height was negatively related
16	to soil pH but positively related to soil P concentration. In LM-SH plots, mean diameter
17	and height were negatively related to active lime concentration. This study suggests that
18	soil P is a major determinant of holm oak performance in shallow calcareous soils and
19	highlights the importance of conducting detailed soil studies in order to assess the viability
20	of plantations with this species.

Keywords: active lime; afforestation; holm oak; Mediterranean; semiarid areas; gypsum

## Introduction

1 Holm oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) is one the most common hardwood forest species in the 2 dry areas of the Mediterranean Basin, extending over 5 million hectares (Terradas 1999), 3 mainly on the western part of the basin. The extension of Mediterranean forests has been 4 reduced and their structure has been changed after centuries of human pressure. Natural 5 recovery of forests in areas where human pressure has decreased or completely ceased is 6 slow due to the low density of remaining forests in semi-arid areas (Plieninger et al. 2010), 7 that limits seed production, high seedling mortality caused by drought (Gómez-Aparicio et 8 al., 2008; del Campo, 2010), herb competition (Prévosto et al. 2011), and grazing 9 (Plieninger et al. 2011, Pulido & Díaz, 2005). 10 Holm oak plantations are frequent around the Mediterranean basin (Le Houérou, 11 2000). The success of these plantations frequently has been low mainly due to drought and 12 poor seedling quality (Bocio et al. 2004; Valdecantos et al. 2009; del Campo et al. 2010). 13 Research on afforestation of holm oak has focused on site preparation techniques (Bocio et 14 al. 2004), vegetation management strategies (Valdecantos et al. 2009), and seedling 15 qualities (Palacios et al., 2009). Some debate remains about the advantages of maintaining a 16 certain cover of spontaneous vegetation, but a general consensus exists about the 17 importance of localized site preparation on survival and growth of holm oak plantations. 18 Del Campo et al. (2010) have shown that potassium (K) has an important role in 19 holm oak seedling survival in nursery experiments. These authors suggest that high soil 20 active lime concentration may hinder seedling K nutrition. Nevertheless, studies on the 21 response of O. ilex to different soil conditions in the field are rare. Canadell and Vilá (1992) 22 studied how tissue nutrient concentration in holm oak forests varied across soil types and 23 showed a negative correlation between soil calcium (Ca) and plant magnesium (Mg) 24 concentration. In the Luberon region in France, an area with relatively high rainfall and

1	neutral to slightly acid soils, the mean height of trees was positively related to soil K and
2	Mg and to soil depth (Bichard 1982). Curt and Marsteau (1997) measured the highest
3	production for holm oak stands on deep soils without carbonates. Valdecantos et al. (2006)
4	suggested that on basic soils of dry areas soil phosphorus (P) and K are major limitants of
5	Q. ilex seedling performance. Previous work in semiarid areas of Lleida (Spain) has shown
6	that growth of Q. ilex trees in abandoned croplands is negatively affected by increases in
7	the penetration resistance of the upper 50 cm soil layer even after subsoiling (Cascales,
8	2005).
9	While most of these studies have worked under greenhouse conditions or with
10	mature stands, the objective of this paper is to determine the soil characteristics limiting the
11	development of Quercus ilex plantations on shallow calcareous and gyspsiferous soils.
12	
13	Materials and methods
14	Sampling plots were located in a semiarid area in Lleida, northeast Spain (41°51' N,
15	0°35′ E; 380-500 m altitude). Mean annual temperature for the 1997-2010 period is 14.1 °C,
16	with a mean minimum of 4.8 °C in January and a mean maximum of 24.2 °C in July and
17	August. Mean annual rainfall is 348 mm with a dry period from June to September.
18	Estimated accumulated soil moisture deficit varied between 400-700 mm.
19	Eighteen 300 m <sup>2</sup> sampling plots (with 21 to 56 trees in each plot) in 15 year-old
20	plantations of Quercus ilex L. ssp. ballota (Desf.) Samp. The plantations were established
21	using one year-old seedlings that were protected with a plastic tube after subsoiling down to
22	50 cm depth along the contour with an agricultural tractor. The distance between plantation
23	lines was 3-5 m, but no plantation density records are kept.

24 All plots were located on slopes and categorized according to two variables, slope

- 1 aspect and soil parent material/past land use. We selected north and south slope aspects as
- 2 representative of extreme moisture availability. Aspect of north-facing plots ranged
- between 315°NW and 45°NE, and that of south-facing plots between 135°SE and 225°SW.
- 4 Potential evapotranspiration was estimated by the Turc method using the ECOSIM package
- 5 (Gracia, 1991) and ranged between 730-770 mm and 1050-1100 mm in north- and south-
- 6 facing slopes, respectively.
- 7 Three parent materials on which shallow soils develop in the area were chosen:
- 8 limestone, gypsum rock, and colluvium in which a petrocalcic horizon had developed. The
- 9 former use of these plots was related to this factor, so the plots on limestone and gypsum
- were previously shrubland (LM-SH and GY-SH plots, respectively), and plots on
- 11 colluvium had been used for winter cereals (CO-AG plots). Three plots were studied for
- each combination of slope aspect and parent material/past land use.
- Plots were chosen to have more than 50% cover of spontaneous vegetation. The
- species composition of vegetation varies among the three groups of plots. Vegetation is
- 15 mainly composed of Rosmarinus officinalis, Ononis tridentata, Gypsophila struthium ssp.
- 16 hispanica, Thymus vulgaris, and Brachypodium retusum in GY-SH plots, while Aphyllantes
- 17 monspeliensis, Brachypodium retusum, Quercus coccifera, and Rosmarinus officinalis are
- the main species in LM-SH plots. CO-AG plots are dominated by herbaceous species such
- 19 as Foeniculum vulgare, Ericastrum nasturtiifolium, Eryngium campestre, and Papaver
- 20 rhoeas. The cover estimated by visual assessment ranges from 75 to 90% in GY-SH plots,
- 21 55 to 95% in LM-SH plots, and 70 to 95% in CO-AG plots. The height of this vegetation
- was always less than 70 cm.
- In each plot, the total number of trees was counted. The number of dead trees was
- also estimated, considering all the plastic tubes that contained a dead tree or no tree. The

- 1 height and the diameter at the stem base of each alive tree was measured during the winter.
- 2 For each plot, we calculated the mean height and diameter as the mean height and diameter
- 3 of all alive trees in the plot. Dominant height and diameter for each plot were calculated as
- 4 the mean height and diameter of the three tallest trees and of the three thickest trees,
- 5 respectively.
- 6 Slope steepness and aspect were measured with a clinometer and a compass,
- 7 respectively. A soil pit was excavated to the depth of the underlying rock or root-limiting
- 8 horizon at the centre of each sampling plot and described following the SINEDARES
- 9 criteria (CBDSA, 1983). An Eijkelkamp hand penetrometer (model IB) with a 0.25 cm<sup>2</sup>
- surface-area cone and a compression spring of 220 N was used to obtain 10 penetration
- 11 resistance measurements in each soil genetic horizon. The presence of gypsum in the soil
- was confirmed in the field with the BaCl<sub>2</sub> method (Porta et al., 1986).
- Samples taken from each soil genetic horizon were analysed for the main variables
- following Porta et al. (1986), namely pH (1:2.5 in water), organic matter (estimated
- 15 considering it contains 58% organic carbon, which was determined by the Walkley-Black
- method), total nitrogen (N) (Kjeldahl method), Olsen P, exchangeable K (determined by
- atomic absorption spectrophotometry after extraction with 1N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc pH7), calcium
- carbonate-equivalent (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) (determined by the volumetric calcimeter method), active
- 19 lime (determined by the Nijelsohn method), and gypsum (determined according to Artieda
- et al., 2006). Texture was determined with the pipette method but only for soils without
- 21 gypsum due to several problems with this procedure in soils with gypsum (Porta et al.,
- 22 1986). Soils were classified according to Soil Taxonomy (SSS, 1999).
- 23 Statistical analyses were performed in R (R Development Core Team 2009). We
- 24 used ANOVA to study the variation in soil and tree variables among aspect and parent

1	material/land-use classes, introducing plot as a random factor nested within the fixed
2	factors. Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) in the Vegan package of R was used to
3	analyse correlation between tree variables and soil and site variables for the whole set of
4	plots. Multiple linear regression analyses were performed for the whole set of plots with the
5	backward selection procedure using mean and dominant height and diameter as dependent
6	variables and soil and site variables as independent variables. The procedure was also used
7	separately for each groups of plots (LM-SH, GY-SH, and CO-AG) but using the forward
8	selection method. Only models complying with the basic statistical assumptions were
9	accepted. In all cases, relationships were only considered significant if P<0.05.
10	The three groups of variables used in the analyses were: i) site variables, including
11	slope, aspect (north and south; introduced as a dummy variable with values of 0 for south
12	and 1 for north when used in multiple linear regression), parent material/previous land use
13	(LM-SH, GY-SH, and CO-AG), and cover of spontaneous vegetation, ii) tree variables,
14	including number of dead trees, and mean and dominant height and diameter, and iii) soil
15	variables, including both those described in the field and those measured in the laboratory,
16	were introduced in the analyses as weighted means of the values for the horizons in the
17	upper mineral soil horizon (20-30 cm).
18	
19	Results
20	
21	Soil characteristics
22	
23	Soils varied significantly among parent material/previous land-use units (Table 1)
24	but not between slope aspects. Soils in GY-SH plots were classified as Lithic Torriorthent

- 1 (three plots), Gypsic Haploxerept (two plots), and Lithic Haplogypsid (one plot), and all
- 2 belong to the gypsic mineralogy class. These soils were mostly shallow (6-26 cm in depth,
- 3 except in one case that had a depth of 90 cm), with lower pH, amount of rock fragments,
- 4 and lower P, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, active lime, organic matter, and N concentration than the plots located
- 5 in the other parent materials. Their texture was silty-loam to loam according to field
- 6 determination, and their gypsum content in the surface mineral horizon varied between 660
- 7 g.kg<sup>-1</sup> and 810 g.kg<sup>-1</sup>.
- 8 Soils in the LM-SH plots were classified as Petrocalcidic Palexeroll (three plots),
- 9 Lithic Haploxeroll (two plots), and Petrocalcic Palexeroll (one plot). Two plots belong to
- the loamy-skeletal particle-size class and four to the carbonatic mineralogy class. Their
- texture was loamy in most cases. Soils in CO-AG plots were classified as Petrocalcidic
- 12 Palexeroll (three plots), Petrocalcic Palexeroll (two plots), and Xeric Petrocalcid (one plot).
- 13 Three plots belong to the loamy-skeletal particle-size class and all of them to the carbonatic
- mineralogy class. These soils had a loamy to silty-loam texture.

Tree survival and size

15

16

19

17 The estimated plantation density was 1144-1378 seedlings.ha<sup>-1</sup>, without significant

differences among the three plot classes. The number of dead trees and height and diameter

- of trees varied significantly among parent material/land use units (P< 0.0001) (Table 2).
- 20 Slope aspect and the interaction between parent material and aspect had no significant
- 21 effect on any of the variables studied.
- Trees growing on CO-AG plots had significantly lower mortality and higher
- 23 diameter and height than trees on GY-SH and LM-SH plots (Table 2). Mortality was very
- 24 high on GY-SH plots reaching a mean value of 82%. Trees larger than 50 cm dominated on

1	CO-AG plots and ove	r 30% of trees we	ere more than 150 cm	high. On the other hand, tree	25
	CO 110 plots and 010	1 30 /0 01 11005 ***	ore more man 150 cm	mgm. On the other name, nee	-

- 2 with a height ranging between 25 and 50 cm were the most frequent in LM-SH and GY-SH
- 3 plots, while trees smaller than 25 cm represented more than 30% of all trees. A similar
- 4 pattern appeared in relation to tree diameter, with over 60% of trees on GY-SH and LM-SH
- 5 plots having a diameter of less than 10 mm, whereas over 40% of trees on CO-AG plots
- 6 had a diameter of 10-50 mm and 30% had a diameter of more than 50 mm.

Variables explaining height and diameter of trees

The first axis explained 91% of the variability in the data, while the second axis explained less than 2% (Table 3) in the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) for the whole set of plots, and therefore we only interpreted the first axis (CCA1) in this study. This axis was negatively related to tree mortality and positively related to height and diameter. The three main explanatory variables were soil gypsum, that had a negative correlation with CCA1, and soil P concentration and amount of rock fragments, which had a positive correlation with CCA1 (Table 3).

Multiple linear regression analysis of dominant height across the 18 plots also showed soil P concentration and soil depth to be positively related to that variable, while soil penetration resistance had a marginal negative effect (Table 4).

Multiple linear regression analysis for CO-AG plots showed a positive relationship between mean tree diameter and soil P concentration and a negative relation between mean tree height and soil pH (Table 4). According to these models, a P concentration above 19 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> was related to mean diameter values greater than 35 mm, the mean value obtained in these plots. Similarly, soil pH values higher than 8.1 are related to mean height values

lower than 112 cm.

On LM-SH plots, active lime was the only variable that significantly explained the variability in dominant diameter and mean height in the multiple linear regression analysis, with a negative effect in both cases (Table 4). Active lime concentrations over 13% were related to dominant diameter and mean height values smaller than 13 mm and 45 cm, respectively. In the case of dominant height, the clay concentration in the soil was the only significant explanatory variable, also with a negative effect, and the model indicated that concentrations over 15% were related to dominant height values of less than 78 cm.

Multiple regression models for height and diameter in GY-SH plots were, in

multiple regression models for height and diameter in GY-SH plots were, in general, not statistically acceptable, and only a model showing a marginal negative effect of gyspum concentration (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) on mean height (cm) could be obtained:

12 Hm = 
$$65 - 0.04*gypsum$$
 (R<sup>2</sup> =  $0.60$ ; P=  $0.07$ )

## **Discussion**

The height and diameter values of 15-year old *Quercus ilex* trees in the study plots are smaller than those reported in holm oak plantations in France by Bichard (1982) and Curt and Marsteau (1997). Height and diameter values in the CO-AG plots are similar to those reported by Bocio et al. (2004) in abandoned farmland in southern Spain, but those from GY-SH and LM-SH plots are much smaller. The higher growth reported in Bocio et al. (2004) may be the result of lower moisture deficit in their study area mainly due to lower evapotranspiration as their plots were located at higher altitude than our plots (900 vs. 350-500 m).

The lack of significant differences in height and diameter of *Q. ilex* trees obtained in the present study between the two contrasting slope aspects, north and south, is particularly

1	striking as the	low rooting dep	oth of the studie	d soils (Table	1) was expecte	ed to amplify the

- 2 higher water deficit in south-facing slopes. North-facing slopes usually have lower
- 3 temperature and higher soil moisture conditions than south-facing slopes in the northern
- 4 hemisphere (Bolstad et al. 1998; Griffiths et al. 2009). Evergreen plants are particularly
- 5 exposed to the combination of high air temperatures and low soil moisture in the summer
- 6 and low temperatures with relatively higher radiation in the winter. This combination of
- 7 stressful environmental conditions controls the distribution of this type of species (Warren
- 8 II 2008). Nevertheless, no significant differences were found among different slope aspects
- 9 in terms of the site index of *Pinus halepensis* plantations in semi-arid north-eastern Spain
- 10 (Olarieta et al. 2000). Al Omary (2011) did not find differences in the height of trees of this
- species between north- and south-facing slopes in Jordan either, but tree height in west-
- facing slopes was significantly higher as a result of their position in relation to moisture
- 13 coming from the Mediterranean.

1999; Curt and Marsteau 1997).

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On the other hand, the significant positive relation between soil rootable depth and tree growth in the multiple linear regression model for the whole set of plots (Table 4) confirms previous results in the literature (Bichard 1982; Cartan-Son et al. 1992; Rodà et al.

Rock fragment abundance in soils appears as a significant variable in the CCA with a positive relation with tree size (Table 3). But this variable does not show any effect in any of the multiple linear regression analyses separately performed for each group of plots. We therefore suggest that the variable 'rock fragments' is just an indicator of the more general differences between the three groups of plots (Table 1), and may not point to a specific effect on plant growth.

The significant relation between height and soil P found in this study (Tables 3 and

1 4) may be related to both changes in P availability and to the effect of the high content of 2 carbonates and active lime on P solubility and uptake. P is a limiting factor in the growth of holm oak in calcareous soils with low levels of Olsen phosphorus (5 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Sardans et 3 4 al. 2004), but Mayor and Rodà (1992) concluded that primary production of O. ilex stands 5 was not limited by nutrients in slightly acidic soils, which may be the result of the high P 6 solubility at this range of soil pH. Similarly, P fertilisation had little effect on holm oak 7 growth in neutral pH soils (Rodà et al. 1999). In contrast, Sardans et al. (2006) obtained a 8 higher growth and an increase in Mg, S, Fe, and K tissue concentration of Q. ilex after P 9 fertilisation of soils with high calcium carbonate and active lime concentration. On the 10 other hand, the relatively high levels of soil P in the CO-AG plots are likely the result of 11 past agricultural practices, as they are very rarely found in shrublands. 12 The size of O. ilex trees also shows a negative relation with active lime on LM-SH 13 plots and with soil pH on CO-AG plots (Table 4). These two soil variables influence the 14 availability of soil phosphorus (Kordlaghari and Rowell 2006), and therefore, high levels of 15 soil calcium are related to low levels of P in Q. ilex leaves (Rapp et al. 1999) and to lower 16 height of trees (Curt and Marsteau 1997). 17 Soil penetration resistance showed a marginal negative effect on tree growth (Table 18 4), in agreement with the proposals of Curt and Marsteau (1997) and Cubera et al (2009). 19 Cascales (2005) obtained a much stronger relation in soils with resistance values from 4 to 20 9 MPa. But in our case values were lower, with 50% of the plots having a resistance of less 21 than 4 MPa and only one reaching 6 MPa (Table 1). This suggests a critical soil penetration 22 resistance of 4-5 MPa below which there is no major limitation for Q. ilex growth. 23 Soil P concentration was particularly low in the GY-SH plots (Table 1). This very 24 limited P availability, as well as that of other nutrients, is very frequent in gypsum soils

1 (Oyonarte et al. 1996; Kordlaghari and Rowell 2006) and plays a significant role in determining the composition of plant communities that grow on these soils (Castilleio et al. 2 3 2011). The small size of *Q.ilex* trees in GY-SH plots, compared to those in other plots 4 (Table 2), is consistent with results obtained for other species, such as *Pinus halepensis* and 5 O. faginea, in the area (Olarieta et al. 2000 and 2009). The high content of gypsum in the upper mineral horizons of these soils, over 660 g.kg<sup>-1</sup>, suggests that another possible reason 6 7 for the poor development of holm oak trees could be low water availability, as in those 8 conditions the packing of gypsum crystals prevents root development (Poch et al. 1998). 9 The high variability in tree mortality obtained in the whole set of plots studied is 10 consistent with survival data in published literature (del Campo et al. 2010). But we have 11 not pursued this issue any further because the lack of data on initial plantation densities 12 provides a weak basis for such analysis. Nevertheless, both water and nutrient availability, 13 namely P and K, have been shown to influence the survival of holm oak seedlings (Gómez-14 Aparicio et al. 2005, 2008; del Campo et al. 2010) 15 The lower mortality and higher growth in CO-AG plots in relation to GY-SH and 16 LM-SH plots can be explained, at least in part, by differences in soil characteristics. But 17 differences in competition with spontaneous vegetation may have also played a significant 18 role (Valdecantos et al. 2009). Some of the species present in plots in former shrubland, 19 such as Brachypodium retusum in both GY-SH and LM-SH plots, have been shown to 20 hinder survival and growth of holm oak seedlings (Prévosto et al. 2011). Aphyllantes 21 monspeliensis, which appeared frequently in LM-SH plots, grows in thick tussocks with 22 large amounts of fine roots in the upper 10 cm of soil (Burylo et al. 2011), and may have

strongly competed for water and nutrients with Q. ilex seedlings.

23

$\sim$		-	1	•			
$-\mathbf{C}$	nη	C	11	C1	n	n	C

2	Height and diameter of 15-year old Q. ilex trees growing on shallow calcareous and
3	gypsiferous soils are related to the availability of soil phosphorus and negatively affected
4	by the content of active lime and gypsum in the soil.
5	In general, survival and growth of trees are satisfactory on former agricultural plots
6	with soils developed on stony colluvium. On former shrublands, development of these
7	plantations is poor on soils developed on limestone, and very problematic on soils
8	developed on gyspum.
9	Soil parent material and former land-use can provide a useful preliminary guide to
10	assess the suitability of Quercus ilex plantations, but significant variability in the
11	performance of this species occurs within classes of those variables. Therefore, further
12	detailed analysis of soil characteristics is required to evaluate land suitability for these
13	plantations.
14	
15	Acknowledgments
16	We would like to thank the owners of the plots for their support. Editors and
17	reviewers provided insightful comments on a previous version of this paper.
18	
19	References
20	Al Omary A (2011). Effects of aspect and slope position on growth and nutritional status of
21	planted Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis Mill.) in a degraded land semi-arid areas of
22	Jordan. New For. doi: 10.1007/s11056-011-9251-2.
23	Artieda O, Herrero J, Drohan PJ (2006) Refinement of the differential water loss method
24	for gypsum determination in soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J 70: 1932-1935.

1	Bichard D (1982) Relations entre quelques paramètres édaphiques et la productivité du
2	chêne vert au Luberon. Ecol Medit VIII(4): 131-142.
3	Bocio I, Navarro FB, Ripoll MA, Jiménez MN, de Simon E (2004) Holm oak (Quercus
4	rotundifolia Lam.) and Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis Mill.) response to different
5	soil preparation techniques applied to forestation in abandoned farmland. Ann For
6	Sci 61: 171-178.
7	Bolstad PV, Swift L, Collins F, Régnière J (1998) Measured and predicted air temperatures
8	at basin to regional scales in the southern Appalachian mountains. Agric For Met
9	91: 161-176.
10	Burylo M, Hudek C, Rey F (2011) Soil reinforcement by the roots of six dominant species
11	on eroded mountainous marly slopes (Southern Alps, France). Catena 84(1-2): 70-
12	78.
13	Canadell J, Vilá M (1992) Variation in tissue element concentrations in <i>Quercus ilex</i> L.
14	over a range of different soils. Vegetatio 99-100: 273-282.
15	Cartan-Son M, Floret C, Galan MJ, Grandjanny M, Le Floc'h E, Maistre M, Perret P,
16	Romane F (1992) Factors affecting radial growth of Quercus ilex L. in a coppice
17	stand in southern France. Vegetatio 99-100: 61-68.
18	Cascales S (2005) Estudio de las influencias del medio en el crecimiento de la encina
19	(Quercus ilex L.) en el término municipal de Ivars de Noguera (Lleida). TFC
20	Dissertation, Universitat de Lleida.
21	Castillejo JM, Castelló R, San Cristobal AG, Abad S (2011) Soil-plant relationships along a
22	semiarid gypsum gradient (Rio de Aguas, SE Spain). Plant Ecol. doi
23	10.1007/s11258-011-9905-3.
24	CBDSA (Comisión del Banco de Datos de Suelos y Aguas) (1983) SINEDARES. Manual

1	para la Descripción Codificada de Suelos en el Campo. Ministerio de Agricultura,
2	Pesca y Alimentación. Madrid.
3	Cubera E, Moreno G, Solla A (2009) Quercus ilex root growth in response to
4	heterogeneous conditions of soil bulk density and soil NH4-N content. Soil Till Res
5	103: 16-22.
6	Curt T, Marsteau C (1997). Systèmes géopédologiques et production forestière sur
7	substratum carbonaté et gréseux en zone méditerranénne. L'exemple des chênes vert
8	et pubescent dans les garrigues du Gard. Étude Gest Sols 4(4): 247-263.
9	del Campo AD, Navarro RM, Ceacero CJ (2010) Seedling quality and field performance of
10	commercial stocklots of containerized holm oak (Quercus ilex) in Mediterranean
11	Spain: an approach for establishing a quality standard. New For 39: 19-37.
12	Gómez-Aparicio L, Gómez JM, Zamora R, Boettinger JL (2005) Canopy vs. soil effects of
13	shrubs facilitating tree seedlings in Mediterranean montane ecosystems. J Veg Sci
14	16: 191-198.
15	Gómez-Aparicio L, Pérez-Ramos M, Mendoza I, Matías L, Quero JL, Castro J, Zamora R,
16	Marañón T (2008) Oak seedling survival and growth along resource gradients in
17	Mediterranean forests: implications for regeneration in current and future
18	environmental scenarios. Oikos 117: 1683-1699.
19	Gracia C (1991) ECOSIM. Simulación y Análisis de Problemas en Ecología. Versión
20	39.01/A. Dept. Ecologia, Universitat de Barcelona.
21	Griffiths RP, Madritch MD, Swanson AK (2009) The effects of topography on forest soil
22	characteristics in the Oregon Cascade Mountains (USA): implications for the effects
23	of climate change on soil properties. For Ecol Manage 257: 1-7.
24	Kordlaghari MP, Rowell DL (2006) The role of gypsum in the reactions of phosphate with

1	soils. Geoderma 132: 105-115.
2	Le Houérou HN (2000) Restoration and rehabilitation of arid and semiarid Mediterranean
3	ecosystems in North Africa and West Asia. Arid Soil Res Rehab 14: 3-14.
4	Olarieta JR, Usón A, Rodríguez R, Rosa M, Blanco R, Antúnez M (2000) Land
5	requirements for Pinus halepensis Mill. growth in a plantation in Huesca, Spain.
6	Soil Use Manage 16: 88-92.
7	Olarieta JR, Rodríguez-Ochoa R, Cívico V, Ascaso E (2009) Influencia de las
8	características edáficas en el crecimiento de Quercus faginea Lam. y Juglans regia
9	L. en plantaciones en clima semiárido (La Noguera, Lleida). In: S.E.C.F. and Junta
10	de Castilla y León (eds) 5º Congreso Forestal Español. Sociedad Española de
11	Ciencias Forestales, Avila.
12	Oyonarte C, Arana V, Alvarado JJ (1996) Soil solution and micronutrient availability in the
13	rhizosphere of gypsophiles and nongypsophiles plants. In: Poch RM (ed)
14	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Soils with Gypsum. Edicions
15	Universitat de Lleida, Lleida, p.88.
16	Plieninger T, Rolo V, Moreno G (2010) Large-scale patterns of Quercus ilex, Quercus
17	suber, and Quercus pyrenaica regeneration in Central-Western Spain. Ecosyst 13:
18	644-660.
19	Plieninger T, Schaich H, Kizos T (2011) Land-use legacies in the forest structure of
20	silvospastoral oak woodlands in the Eastern Mediterranean. Reg Environ Change
21	11(3): 603-615.
22	Poch RM, De Coster W, Stoops G (1998) Pore space characteristics as indicators of soil
23	behaviour in gypsiferous soils. Geoderma 87, 87-109.
24	Porta J, López-Acevedo M, Rodríguez-Ochoa R (1986) Técnicas y Experimentos en

1	Edafología. Col·legi Oficial d'Enginyers Agrònoms de Catalunya, Barcelona.
2	Prévosto B, Monnier Y, Ripert C, Fernandez C (2011) Diversification of <i>Pinus halepensis</i>
3	forests by sowing Quercus ilex and Quercus pubescens acorns: testing the effects of
4	different vegetation and soil tratments. Eur J Forest Res 130: 67-76.
5	Pulido FJ, Díaz M (2005) Regeneration of a Mediterranean oak: A whole cycle approach.
6	Ecosci 12(1): 92-102.
7	Rapp M, Santa Regina I, Rico M, Gallego HA (1999) Biomass, nutrient content, litterfall
8	and nutrient return to the soil in Mediterranean oak forests. For Ecol Manage
9	119: 39-49.
10	R Development Core Team (2009) R: a language and environment for statistical
11	computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. http://www.R-
12	project.org.
13	Rodà, F, Mayor X, Sabaté S, Diego V (1999) Water and nutrient limitations to primary
14	production. In Rodà F, Retana J, Gracia CA, Bellot J (eds) Ecology of
15	Mediterranean Evergreen Oak Forests. Springer, Berlin, pp 183-194.
16	Sardans J, Rodà F, Peñuelas J (2004) Phosphorus limitation and competitive capacities of
17	Pinus halepensis and Quercus ilex subsp. rotundifolia on different soils. Plant Ecol
18	174: 305-317.
19	Sardans J, Rodà F, Peñuelas J (2006) Effects of a nutrient pulse supply on nutrient status of
20	the Mediterranean trees Quercus ilex subsp. ballota and Pinus halepensis on
21	different soils and under different competitive pressure. Trees 20: 619-632.
22	SSS (Soil Survey Staff) (1999) Soil Taxonomy, Second Edition. USDA, Washington.
23	Terradas J (1999) Holm oak and holm oak forests: an introduction. In Rodà F, Retana J,
24	Gracia CA, Bellot J (eds) Ecology of Mediterranean Evergreen Oak Forests.

1	Springer, Berlin, pp 3-14.
2	Valdecantos A, Cortina J, Vallejo VR (2006) Nutrient status and field performance of tree
3	seedlings planted in Mediterranean degraded areas. Ann For Sci 63: 249-256.
4	Valdecantos A, Baeza MJ, Vallejo VR (2009) Vegetation management for promoting
5	ecosystem resilience in fire-prone mediterranean shrublands. Restor Ecol 17(3):
6	412-421.
7	Warren II RJ (2008) Mechanisms driving understory evergreen herb distributions across
8	slope aspects: as derived from landscape position. Plant Ecol 198: 297-308.
9	

- **Table 1** Soil characteristics of the three groups of plots (GY-SH: shrubland on gypsum
- 3 rock; LM-SH: shrubland on limestone; CO-AG: former agricultural plots on colluvium)
- 4 (means and standard deviations). For each group n=6.

	GY-SH	LM-SH	CO-AG
Soil rootable depth (cm)	30 (31) a	17 (5) a	28 (11) a
Rock fragments (% v/v)	7 (9) b	30 (19) a	42 (17) a
Penetration resistance (MPa)	4.6 (0.8) a	3.3 (1.4) a	4.0 (1.3) a
pH	7.8 (0.1) b	8.2 (0.1) a	8.1 (0.2) a
$CaCO_3 (mg.g^{-1})$	210 (80) b	540 (40) a	470 (80) a
Active lime (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	20 (20) c	130 (50) b	190 (40) a
Olsen P (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	6 (5) b	10 (4) b	19 (7) a
Exchangeable K (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	135 (143) a	125 (37) a	173 (51) a
Clay (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	(*)	160 (30) b	200 (40) a
Organic matter (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	2.6 (0.5) b	5.1 (1.2) a	4.7 (0.8) a
Total nitrogen (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	1.0 (0.2) b	2.3 (0.6) a	1.9 (0.3) a

<sup>(\*)</sup> Particle size analysis was not performed on soil samples from GY-SH plots. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P=0.05. ns: not significant.

- 1 **Table 2** Estimated number of dead trees, height, and diameter of holm oak trees in the three
- 2 groups of plots (GY-SH: shrubland on gypsum rock; LM-SH: shrubland on limestone; CO-
- 3 AG: former agricultural plots on colluvium) (least squares means and standard errors). For
- 4 each group n=6.

	GY-SH	LM-SH	CO-AG	Standard errors
Dead trees.ha <sup>-1</sup>	1133	440	103	73
Mean height (cm)	35	45	112	8
Dominant height (cm)	48	76	76 204	15
Mean diameter (mm)	7	13	35	3
Dominant diameter (mm)	11	25	77	5

- 1 Table 3 Results of the canonical correlation analysis between tree variables and soil and
- 2 site variables for the whole set of plots (n=18).

	CCA1	
Eigenvalue	0.284	
Proportion of variance explained	0.91	
Scores for tree va	riables	
Dead trees.ha <sup>-1</sup>	- 0.89	
Mean diameter	0.32	
Dominant height	0.32	
Scores for site and so	l variables	
Slope aspect	0.05	
Exchangeble K	0.33	
Olsen P	0.75	
Penetration resistance	- 0.09	
Soil rootable depth	0.16	
Rock fragments	0.63	
Soil gypsum	- 0.85	

3 CCA1: first axis of the analysis

## **Table 4** Summary of the results of the multiple linear regression analyses.

Sample	Dependent	T. d d	Parameter	Variable	Model	Model
plots	variable	Independent variables	estimate	P value	P value	$R^2$
		Olsen P (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	7.97	0.0001		
All (n=18)	Dominant height	Rootable depth (cm)	1.54	0.04	0.0008	0.68
121 (11-10)	(cm)	Penetr. Resistance (MPa)	-16.60	0.12	_	0.00
	Mean diameter					
CO-AG	(mm)	Olsen P (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.4	-	0.02	0.76
(n=6)	Mean height (cm)	рН	-155.71	-	0.03	0.72
	Mean diameter (mm)	Active lime (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.12	-	0.006	0.88
LM-SH (n=6)	Mean height (cm)	Active lime (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.25	-	0.005	0.89
	Dominant height (cm)	Clay (mg.g <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.37	-	0.05	0.64